G. R. S. 59]

P21

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901.-2
( S & A. )

**File No.** 144-01

**Serial Nos.** 1 – 9

## Papers Filed.

*Month* of Nov. 02

SUBJECT.

T. A. Bills of Mr. B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar & J. Q. of Police. for Feb-02.

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES. |
| --- | --- |
| | Correspondence Dis |

# Note

Letter No. 4700/333 d. 24th April 1902 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore

---

In his bill for February 1902 the I. Gl of Police claimed single railway fare for journey performed from Bangalore to Beenkipore with a free pass. The Comptroller disallowed the claim under art: 543 of the Mysore Service Regulations Thereupon the I Gl of Police submits the bill to Govt for orders. In the letter under reference, he urges the following reasons in support of his claim:—

(1) Officers in charge of Railway Police are, under art 525 allowed daily allowances without any deduction, although they use free passes. After the abolition of the offices of the Personal Asst to the Inspector General and the Deputy Inspector General who used to be in direct charge of

of the Railway Police, the Inspector General himself resumed the direct charge of that police and is therefore entitled to the benefit of that article. In fact the permanent Inspector Gl. Mr Rangasamiengar was not deducting the railway free pass from his allce.

As against the above reasons, the Comptroller in Mysore says that art: 525 which allows daily allce in addition to a free pass ~~is~~ is not applicable to officers in receipt of permanent monthly travelling allce and has therefore no bearing on art: 573.

The I Gl of Police contends that ~~there is no difference between~~ the permanent monthly allce granted to him is nothing but a permanent daily allce paid once a month and there is no difference between the two expressions as the Comptroller argues. He requests

request that the Comptroller may be directed to pass his bill without deduction as hitherto or that he be permitted to refund the permanent allce drawn by him and send a regular travelling allce bill at the rates sanctioned to Heads of departments.

Subs proceedings. As the DMB 29/4/02 Inspector General is in receipt of a permanent monthly allowance of Rs 150/. the Comptroller's contention seems to be correct. If as the Inspector General says this permanent allowance is withdrawn, he will be entitled to the same allowance that is drawn by ~~the Civil~~ Heads of Departments viz Rs 7 1/2 per diem.

DB
29/4/1902

How has the I.G. is

Proposal to abolish permanent allce recn disposed of?

29/4

Subd It was subd to the Demand on 4/11/01. (Please see the Jud Branch slip herewith & Vouchs).

30/4/02

30/4/1902

Please get it from the Asst Secy.

30/4

(Govt Res 388 of 1892) is Rescind to Demand on 19/5/02 SM 19/5/02 ~~rescinded~~

Rec'd Papers 19/5/02

If Mr. A.R. Dy were drawing (without deduction, the present I.Q. shd also be allowed on the varnas then explained, I think.

Submitted to 3rd Commr.

14/5

Please enquire today & understand circumstances Mr A.R. was allowed Q

Demand his wrong allowance without any deduction as completion under article 543 of the P.R.

17/5

17/5

The Comptroller was written to and his reply (letter No. 7290/390 d: 26th May 1902) is herewith submitted. He says that his office was not made aware either by Govt. or by the Inspector General of Police that Mr Rungasami Iyengar was entitled to or that he had a free pass and when he used it, till the fact was recently brought to notice by an entry made in the remarks column of the travelling allce bill of Mr Venkataradaiengar off.g I-Gl of Police for February 1902.

Subd for orders. If approved, DPB 6/6/02 the travelling allowance Bills sent by Mr R Iyengar from time to time, may be called for & he may then be asked to state why he did not make the necessary

Deduction in his Bills.

B-2

6/6/1902

Before taking further action, it is best to settle whether the Comptroller's objn is right. If the I-G. is in charge of Rly Police, Art. 525 would apply and no deduction need be made. But even then the later article 543, which seems to be more general seems to override this.

If the I-G. is held to be in ch. of the Police &c, further directions will have to be made. How is the matter to be decided when he

foes to places where
he has no presidi
tion (e.g. Hindupur
Line) with a free
pass?

In case this objn
of the Comptr is sus-
tained, is it neces-
sary or right to ask
the ~~L~~ late I.G to
refund what he
had drawn probably
without thinking of this
view at all?

11/6/1902

The 3rd Comm
allow

11/6/02
(150)

Subd to the Dewan

22/6/02

Read
22.6
[illegible]

Mr Rangasawmy
Iyengar enquiring
case in which
[illegible] with
regard to
[illegible] involved
in this case – let
Mr Commr obtain
& state the facts clearly

7.VII.02

Kindly show me the draft – GL
8/7/02.

Offd
DMB
9/7/02

Subd: Mr A. Rungaswamiengar was written to and his reply is herewith submitted. He says that he has no idea of the circs under which the pass in question was obtained, whether and why it was not reported to Govt or the comptroller and what was the practice in the office before he joined etc and requests that an office note on the subject from the Manager or Head Accountant of the Police I. G's office may be obtained

obtained and sent to him to enable him to reply to the letter under reference. A draft docket to the ~~comptroller to~~ I. G. of Police is accordingly submitted for approval

DMB
13/8/02

Submitted

As requested in this Office No 2555/Pol. 190 of 14th August 1902, the I. G. of Police with his No 889/67 of 4th Sept. 02 submits a memo from the Manager of his Office. It is stated in the memo that Mr. L. Ricketts late I. G. of Police, was making no deduction from his permanent monthly pay allowance for using a free pass and that no objection was raised by the Comptroller passing his pay bills. It was thought that the issue of a free pass to the I. G. was the usual concession shown by the Railway authorities for supervising the work of the Railway Police and as such no intimation of this was required to be given to the Comptroller. This practice continued during the time of his successors — viz. Mr. Radhava Rao, Mr. Rangaswamy Iyengar and Mr. B. K. Venkatarama Aiyangar. It is also stated that the Ry Police of the Bangalore — Mangalore section has always been under the direct charge of the I. G. of Police.

May this memo together with the reference made by Mr. A. Rangaswamy Iyengar in his D.O. be forwarded to him. Submitted for orders:—

15.8.02

To

As the memo: subd by the Manager shows, that Mr. Rangaswami Iyengar was not the only Officer who drew permanent monthly travelling allowance without any deduction under Article 543 of the Mysore Service Regulations, the propriety of addressing him again on the subject seems doubtful. The Comptroller who should have made the necessary deduction says that he was not made aware of the fact of the Inspector General having had a free pass with him. The Inspector General's Office should have pointed out this to the Officers concerned. Submd to Secty for orders

15/9/1902

The fault lay chiefly with the Comptr's Office. Mr. B.K.V. should be allowed the same as the previous

Can 7 Rs days be drawn without deduction the free pass

Offers. ~~The~~ Please draft an order — laying down that in future the rules shd be properly enforced in this case also & submit for approval

25/9

Mr Aiyangar's letter

3/10

The Secretary to the 2nd Member

"Allowed"
4/10/02

Secretary's order

"After the communication — send to Mr Rangaswami Iyengar. I think he is entitled to see this and the note of the D. Gl's office and a copy of this order may be sent to Colly."

(Sd) P. R. K.
7-10-02

"After issue perhaps a D.O with copies of the papers referred to"

(Sd) N. R.
7-10-02

Prepare D.O. & papers
8/10

Sec. Sand A
File No. 1164 of 01
Section I

OFFICE OF THE
13665.
25 APR. 2
1902.

P&A. 1565
1590

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

(1)

Dated
Despatched } 24th April 1902
Received

General No. 4700 Sl (1)
No. 333

Enclosure No. 2.

Submitting Copy of Docket No 6508 dated 21-4-1902 from the Comptroller Govt of Mysore and the Travelling Allowance bill of the offg. Inspector General of Police for the month of February 1902 for orders

P.A.
25-4-02

Rect 28.4.02
Sd/ orders with
memo Note
DMB

MGCPE—5-3-01-1000 29/4/02

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 4700/383 DATED Bangalore 21st April 1902.

Enc. 2

(2)

FROM B. L. Venkataramaiengar, Esquire,

Offg INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To N. V. Ranganadhaiah Esq, M.A; B.L.,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to the Comptroller's docket No: 6508 of the 21st April intimating my travelling allowance for February 1902 audited, I beg submit as follows:

He has deducted from the bill the railway fare from Bangalore to Shimoga (Rs 9-15 a), because I had a free pass. says that this has been done under Rule 513 of the Mysore Service Regulations. No doubt that article says that in cases of free passes railway fares should deducted from the permanent monthly travelling allowance; but there is a special provision

provision for Police Officers in charge of the Rail-way police, who, as per article 525 of the said regulation, are permitted to take their daily allowance without any deduction although they use free passes. Formerly the Personal Assis-tant to the Inspector General and then after him the Deputy Inspector General used to be in direct charge of the railway police. After the abolition of those offices the Inspector General him-self assumed the direct charge of that police. Therefore he is entitled (I think) to the benefit of the said article 525, that is, to take his per-manent daily allowance without any deduction owing to the use of free pass. In fact the perma-nent Inspector General Mr. Rangasami Iyengar was not deducting the railway fares from his allowance. But now the Comptroller says that the said article 525 is not applicable, as the allowance in question is a permanent monthly allowance but not a daily allowance. It is a permanent daily allowance paid once a month and I fail to see any difference bet-ween the two expressions. I do not, however, venture to trouble the Government by questioning the interpretation the Comptroller has now put: but to avoid all such needless correspondence I beg the Government to kindly permit me to draw such travelling allowance as is allowed to other Heads of Departments at such rates as are sanctioned to them in lieu of the per-manent travelling allowance now attached

(3)

The Inspector General

A copy of the Comptroller's docket above referred to is submitted together with my travelling allowance bill for February 1902 in which the railway fare of Rs 9-15-0 has been deducted. I beg that the Government may be pleased to direct the Comptroller to pass the bill without deduction as hitherto & to kindly permit me to refund the amount allowance drawn by me and submit a regular travelling allowance bill as the rules sanctioned to Heads of Departments.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Inspector General of Police

Section S and A
File No 144 of 01
Serial No 2

(5)

To

The Comptroller to
the Govt of Mysore

No. 18417 Sl (2)
P+A 1346

Dy. 21st May 1902

Has the honour to state that with refce to his docket No. 6508 dt: 21st April 1902 J.A. No. 181 to the offg. I. Gl of Police in Mysore, it is reported that the permanent I. G of Police Mr A. Rungaswami Iyengar was not deducting the Railway fare from his monthly allce and sanction is solicited to the travelling allce bill of the offg. I. Gl for February 1902, in which Rs 9-15-0 have been ~~due~~ deducted under art: 543 of the Mysore S. Regulations, being passed by the Comptroller without deduction as hitherto. The Comptroller is requested

requested to be so good as to report, why and under what circs Mr. A. ~~Burg~~ Rungamwamieyar was allowed to draw his monthly allce without any deductions as contemplated ~~under~~ by art: 543 of the Service Regulations.

B. O.

Draft

(Records)

JSR
20/5/1902
for Secretary

(b)

DMB
17/5/02

Sec. Sand A
File No. 144 of 01
Serial No. 3

PA-1786

15137. 1899

Gen. 30] 189

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
*Comptroller, Mysore Govt.*

(7)

*The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*
Rev & Genl Dept.

*Dated* 26 May
*Despatched*
*Received* 28
*General No.* 7290 Sl (3)
*No.* 390
*Enclosure No.*

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Stating that this Office was not made aware either by Govt or by the Inspr Genl of Police that the Officer was entitled to draw travelling allce & Transport

*References* (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Reference Patch. —

BB
31/5/02.

Rect 2-6-02

SPECIAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

No. 729/390

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE

*Bangalore,* 26th May 1902. 139

*From*

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.

*Comptroller, Mysore Government.*

*To* H.V.Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A.M.L.
*The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*

General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

With reference to your No.18417/P&A1346 of 21st Instant requesting me to report why and under what circumstances Mr. A.Rungasawmy Iyengar, was allowed to draw his monthly allowance without any deduction of the value of the free pass used for a Railway Journey as contemplated by Art:543 of the Mysore Service Regulations I have the honor to state that this Office was not made aware either by Government or by the Inspector General of Police that this Officer was entitled to or that he had a free pass, and when he used it, till the fact was recently brought to notice by an entry made in the remarks column of the Travelling Allowance bill of Mr.Venkataverada Iyengar, Offg: Inspector General of Police for February 1902.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W Eagles

Comptroller.

A. Rungaswamiengar Esqre
B.a. B.L.
Judge of the Chief
Court of Mysore

(9)

No. 982
114.89

(4)

Dr. 18th July 1902.

Sir,

Mr. B.K. Venkatavarada-
-iengar offg. Inspector General
of Police in Mysore, claimed in
his travelling allce bill for
February 1902, Single railway
fare for journey performed
from Bangalore to Benkipur
with a free pass. The
Comptroller disallowed the
claim under art. 543 of the
Mysore Service Regulations,
~~on the ground~~ that ~~he~~ he is in
receipt of a permanent
monthly travelling allce.
~~the value of the Single fare claimed
should be deducted therefrom.~~
~~The~~ The D.I.G. of Police ~~not~~ has
~~the~~ ~~Secretary~~ solicited
orders of Govt to admit the
claim. He says that officers
in charge of Railway Police
need not deduct the value

of the Railway fare from their permanent monthly travelling all^ce under art: 525, there being no difference between the daily all^ce referred to in this art: and the all^ce referred to in art: 543 and that after the abolition of the offices of the personal Asst to the I. Gl and the Dy. I. Gl who used to be in direct charge of the Railway Police, you assumed the direct charge of that Police and were drawing permanent monthly travelling all^ce without deducting the railway fare. The Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore, being requested to report the circumstances under which you were ~~so~~ allowed to draw the monthly all^ce without any deduction has reported that he was not made aware of the fact of ~~that~~ your being entitled to ~~or had~~ a free pass or as to when you used it, and that the I. Gl of Police is entitled to and has a free pass, was only brought to

(10)

(11)

to his notice in the present case, by an entry made in the col: of remarks of the travelling all^ce bill of Mr. B. K. Venkatavaradiengar, ~~in question~~. I am in these circ^ces directed to request you to be good enough to explain for the information of Govt., the circumstances under which you were drawing the permanent monthly travelling all^ce without any deduction, under article 5 ~~43~~ of the Imperial Service ~~which may throw light~~ Regulations ~~on the issue involved in this case~~.

I have etc

Devanda Sec) Offg

10/7/02

Offg Secretary

DB
9/7/02

DB
9/7

Pkt 183

190

OFFICE OF THE
Regd 1520.
5- AUG 1902

From A. Rangaswamy Iyengar Esq.

Section Secret A
File No 1464-01
Serial No 5

To THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

(12)

Dated 1. Viii 02

Despatched

Received

General No. D.O. Sl (5)

No.

Enclosure No.

Reply to the offl D.O. No. 482 of 18. Vii-02 Regd through the Comptr's Office relating to a certain Pass

References to be marked.

MGCPB—5,000—24-7-01.

Ref.

(13)

Hampshire
1 Aug 02

Dear Mr Aylward, VP

Your Ref No 9828
18 July was received through
the Constitutional Office on
the 20th 21st Inst. Having
been very busy on Re-
Council work, I was not-
able to attend to it-
Earlier.

I am not able from

the heads of correspondence
given in the above &
follow the arguments
of Mr Venkata Varadya
and of Mr Sabapathy
Mudaliar & shall first
subjoin a few remarks
on the correspondence
received on the file.

sent Home for removal
as the Battle Axes
were purchased in the
Office and as I found
the Gas heaters funnels
Missing in April 98
I have no idea where
I sender what are is
the Name in question
was Ad?, whether I
Why it was not Wanted

to pick a quarrel.
and what was the cause
in the Office before I
joined & why if ab-
sent it was attributed.
I beg therefore you will be
so good as to ask the
Secretary first to obtain
an Official Note from the
Manager of the Accts
and publish the same
before you make the necessa



To

The I. General of Police
in Mysore

No. 2355/P&A 190 ✓ 21 X (6)

Bg. 14th August 1902

His letter No. 4700/333 dated
24th April 1902 on the
Subject of the deduction of
the value of the railway
fares under art 543 of the
Mysore Service Regulations

With reference to the above
has the honour to forward
herewith copy of para 3
of letter dated 1st Augt
1902 from Mr A. Rungaiya
Iyengar and to request
that as therein required
I. G. P. will be so good
to furnish this office with
an office note from the
Manager or Head Acctt
of his office together with
the necessary references.

B. O

Draft

12/8

2554.
5 SEP 1902

PHA-323
300

1902

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Source
File No 1468 K01
Serial No 7

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

(19)

Dated

Despatched 1/ September 1902

Received

General No. 889 SL (7)
No. 67

Enclosure No. 2

Submitting an Abkari Note from the Manager regarding reconduction of the Coal Railway fare passes in the pay bill of the Arabic Lecturer.

9 M
Jul
5-9-02

References are in Kys.
Box
10 Kys.
Read 10/9.

MGCPE—5-3-01-1000

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Bangalore.

No. 889/67 Dated 3d September 1902.

4

Enc. 2

From C. Madiah Esquire Rao Bahadur.

~~THE~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M. A. M. L.

~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

(20)

I have the honour to refer to your
circular no 2355/Rev. 190 dated 14th ultimo,
requesting to be furnished with an
office note from the Manager or
the Head Accountant of this office
explaining the practice that was
obtaining in this office, with reference
to the remarks made by Mr. A.
Ramanuja Iyengar Judge of the
Court of Small Causes in para 3 of his letter
dated

dated 1st inst. to Govern.t, I have
the honor to submit herewith the
office note from the Manager A.S.
called for in the above.

(21)

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obed.t Servant
G Marshall
Inspector General of Police
R.J.

Memo

In the time of the late Inspector General of Police Mr. L. Ricketts who was using a free pass for travelling on the Railway line from Chanpanga to Nanchar no deduction was made from his permanent monthly travelling allowance on account of the free pass he was using, and no objection was raised by the Comptroller to passing his pay bills in which his monthly travelling allowance was included. As the Inspector General was in indirect charge of the Railway Police supervising their work, it was thought that the issue of a free pass to the said Officer was the usual Concession shown by the Railway Authorities for supervising the work of the Railway

22

Railway Police maintained at 7/10 ths
of the cost by the Railway Company-
No intimation of this was required
to be given to the Comptroller. The
same practice was continued during
the period his successors were in
charge of the office. A copy of the
(23)
July pay bill of the Officer
for 15th July 1891 is hereto attached
for reference. The Railway Police
on the Bangalore - Nanjangud Section
is under the direct Charge of the
Inspector General of Police, and it
was during the time Messrs. V. P.
Madhava Rao, A. Rangasawmiengar
and B. K. Venkataramaiengar were
in charge of the office.

Krishnamachar

Manager
Office of the Inspector General
of Police.

Government of Mysore ....

To

My pay as Inspector General of Police, Forests and Plantations and Director of Agriculture and Statistics for the month of June 1891 ... Rs 1700 — " — "

(24)

My fixed travelling allowance for the above month. Rs 150 — " — "

1850 — " — "

Deduct as on reverse 28 — 15 — "

x. Subscription for uncovenanted Civil Service Fund in advance for July 1891, Rs 28 — 15 — ".

(Sd). L. Ricketts

Inspector General of Police

Bangalore
15th July 1891

Copy

Inspector General of Police

C. R X

1902

Section Secret
File No 144901
Serial No 8

25

Read

Letter no 4700/333 of 24th April 1902 from the Offg. Inspector General of Police in Mysore submitting his T. a. bill for February 1902 audited by the Comptr. for Rs 59-10-0 and requesting sanction to the value of a free pass used by him from Bangalore to Bankipur viz Rs 9-15-0 deducted by the Comptroller from his tra allce under art 543 of the M. S. Regns

2. Correspondence on the subject ending with letter no 889/67 of 4th Sep. 1902 from the I. G. of Police in Mysore.

Sl (8) Order thereon:- 6097-8 Rest 436 2nd Nov/02

Mr B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar, Offg I. G. of Police was in receipt of a permanent monthly tra allce and had

drawn the same in full
for the month, the Comptr.
was right in deducting
under ark 543 of the M.S. Regns
the value of a free pass
used by him from Bangalore
to Bankipur. Dist Collr.
Mr. B. K. Venkatramadiayar
represents that his predecessors
in office drew their
pay for the entire month
without making any
deduction under ark 543
of the M. S. Regns, although
they used free passes
and requests that the
same concession may
be shown to him. —
As his predecessors in
office ~~enjoyed the same~~ allowed no deduction
~~concession~~, though inadvertently, and for reasons which it is not
~~and that this~~ expedient now to explain
~~is implied by facts stated~~
~~in notice of it~~, the Govt
are pleased to direct
that Mr B. K. Venkatramadiayar
be allowed, in this
particular instance,

(26)

to draw his travelling allce without the deduction made by the Comptroller for his using a free pass from Bangalore to Bowringpet. ~~the rules being~~ strictly followed ~~in signature~~ unnecessary and a deduction is made under art. 543 of the M. S. Regns wherever free passes are used by the D. S of Police or any other Officers of the State

The comptroller and the Inspector General are requested to see that the rules are hereafter

(27)

DFL 7/8/10

~~Dy~~ Secretary

Dip 30-9-02 W3 2/10/1902

Lo

The Compt: with bill

The D. S. of Police in Mysore

The Comptr 7 X 02

Press Copy + also a Spare Copy made 12/2/10

After the Comptr: sent the bill to Mysore Mr Monck's criticism was made & the note of the 7/8 of this order - & the order passed

Dolly 7.X.02

Letter issued & Copy of the Bill with Copies of the Correspondence 12/2/10

D O

Section: Sec A
File: 144701
Part No: 9

Sl (9)

Dear Mr Rangaswamy

With reference to your D.O d: 1st August 1902 I am directed to send herewith for your information copy of the memo prepared by the Manager of the office of the Inspector-General of Police in Mysore on the subject together with a copy of the Govt Pro: No. 6097-8 / PLA 436 dd 22/10/02 passed thereon

Yours sincerely

(28)

21/10/02

Submitted to Govt

DO despatched

3/11

5/11